

Squire Sanders (US) LLP
 Nathan Lane III (SBN 50961)
 nathan.lane@squiresanders.com
 Mark C. Dosker (SBN 114789)
 mark.dosker@squiresanders.com
 275 Battery Street, Suite 2600
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: +1 415 954 0200
 Facsimile: +1 415 393 9887

Attorneys for Defendant
 TECHNOLOGIES DISPLAYS AMERICAS, LLC

Taylor & Company Law Offices, LLP
 Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
 Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
 One Ferry Building, Suite 355
 San Francisco, California 94111
 Telephone: +1 415 788 8200
 Facsimile: +1 415 788 8808

Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton & Garrison LLP
 Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice*)
 Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice*)
 Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice*)
 2001 K Street, NW
 Washington, DC 20006
 Telephone: +1 202 223-7300
 Facsimile: +1 202 223 7436

Attorneys for Plaintiffs SHARP ELECTRONICS
 CORP. and SHARP ELECTRONICS
 MANUFACTURING COMPANY OF AMERICA,
 INC.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

IN RE: CATHODE RAY TUBE (CRT)
 ANTITRUST LITIGATION,

Master File No. 3:07-5944-SC

MDL No. 1917

This Document Relates to:

Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v.
Hitachi, Ltd., et al., No. 13-cv-01173

**STIPULATION RE EXTENSION OF
 TIME FOR DEFENDANT
 TECHNOLOGIES DISPLAYS
 AMERICAS, LLC TO RESPOND TO
 COMPLAINT**

1 Plaintiffs Sharp Electronics Corp. and Sharp Electronics Manufacturing Company of
 2 America, Inc. (collectively, “Sharp”) enter into this Stipulation with Technologies Displays
 3 Americas LLC (“TDA”) concerning the matter entitled *Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi,*
 4 *Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-01173, which was related to *In re Cathode Ray Tube (CRT) Antitrust*
 5 *Litigation*, No. 07-cv-05944, by an Order of Judge Samuel Conti on March 26, 2013. *See* Case
 6 No. 13-cv-1173, Dkt. No. 15.

7 THE PARTIES STIPULATE AND AGREE AS FOLLOWS:

8 WHEREAS, on March 15, 2013, Sharp filed a complaint in the Northern District of
 9 California alleging antitrust violations by manufacturers, distributors and sellers of CRTs,
 10 captioned *Sharp Electronics Corp., et al. v. Hitachi, Ltd., et al.*, No. 13-cv-01173;

11 WHEREAS, on October 28, 2013, Sharp filed its First Amended Complaint (“FAC”);

12 WHEREAS, on November 22, 2013, TDA moved to dismiss the FAC;

13 WHEREAS, on March 13, 2014, the Court granted in part and denied in part TDA’s
 14 motion (MDL Dkt. 2438);

15 WHEREAS, TDA’s time to answer the FAC would otherwise expire on March 27, 2014;

16 WHEREAS, counsel for Sharp and TDA have agreed that TDA will answer, on or before
 17 April 25, 2014, those claims for relief in the FAC that have not been dismissed;

18 NOW, THEREFORE, PURSUANT TO LOCAL RULE 6-1(a), SHARP AND TDA, BY
 19 AND THROUGH THEIR RESPECTIVE COUNSEL OF RECORD, HEREBY STIPULATE AS
 20 FOLLOWS:

21 The deadline for TDA to answer the FAC, to the extent not dismissed, shall be extended
 22 until April 25, 2014.

23 IT IS SO STIPULATED.

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

1 Dated: March 27, 2014

By: /s/ Nathan Lane III

Nathan Lane III
Squire Sanders (US) LLP
275 Battery Street, Suite 2600
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: +1 415 954 0200
Facsimile: +1 415 393 9887

Attorneys for Defendant TECHNOLOGIES
DISPLAYS AMERICAS, LLC

7 Dated: March 27, 2014

By: /s/ Craig A. Benson

Stephen E. Taylor (SBN 058452)
Jonathan A. Patchen (SBN 237346)
Taylor & Company Law Offices, LLP
One Ferry Building, Suite 355
San Francisco, California 94111
Telephone: +1 415 788 8200
Facsimile: +1 415 788 8808

Kenneth A. Gallo (*pro hac vice*)
Joseph J. Simons (*pro hac vice*)
Craig A. Benson (*pro hac vice*)
Paul, Weiss, Rifkind, Wharton &
Garrison LLP

2001 K Street, NW
Washington, DC 20006
Telephone: +1 202 223-7300
Facsimile: +1 202 2237420

Attorneys for Plaintiffs SHARP
ELECTRONICS CORP. and SHARP
ELECTRONICS MANUFACTURING
COMPANY OF AMERICA, INC.



20 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(i), the filer attests that the concurrence in the filing of this
21 document has been obtained from each of the above signatories.

22 Dated: March 27, 2014

/s/ Nathan Lane III